

POLYWET Contact lines on soft solids

Colloque Labex SEAM

12 & 13 November 2018



Robin Masurel

Julien Dervaux Ioan Ionescu Laurent Limat Matthieu Roché



Elasto-capillarity

Deformation of soft solids by capillary forces

Elasto-capillarity

Deformation of soft solids by capillary forces

Thin structures (plates, roads)



Py et al (2007)



Piñeirua et al (2013)



Duprat et al (2012)



Antkowiak et al (2011)



Hure and Audoly (2013)



Holmes et al (2016)

Elasto-capillarity

Deformation of soft solids by capillary forces

Thin structures (plates, roads)

Low shear modulus





Park et al (2014)



Antkowiak et al (2011)



Hure and Audoly (2013)



Holmes et al (2016)



Py et al (2007)



Piñeirua et al (2013)



Duprat et al (2012)

Liquid drops (surface tension γ) on

soft deformable solids (elastic modulus μ)

What is the shape of the ridge $\zeta(x)$?



Formation of a ridge beneath the contact line



Liquid drops (surface tension γ) on soft deformable solids (elastic modulus μ)



Formation of a ridge beneath the contact line



What is the shape of the ridge $\zeta(x)$?

Not a recent question

First mentioned by Bikerman in 1957 and observed by dipping a gelatin prism in a mercury drop

Liquid drops (surface tension γ) on soft deformable solids (elastic modulus μ)



Formation of a ridge beneath the contact line



What is the shape of the ridge $\zeta(x)$?

Not a recent question

First mentioned by Bikerman in 1957 and observed by dipping a gelatin prism in a mercury drop

Involved in some industrial applications

Dew collection, micro- and nano-devices fabrication, coatings

Liquid drops (surface tension γ) on soft deformable solids (elastic modulus μ)



Formation of a ridge beneath the contact line



What is the shape of the ridge $\zeta(x)$?

Not a recent question

First mentioned by Bikerman in 1957 and observed by dipping a gelatin prism in a mercury drop

Involved in some industrial applications

Dew collection, micro- and nano-devices fabrication, coatings

A simple but fundamental problem

Direct realization of the Flamant-Boussinesq problem (Green function for many linear problems of contact and fluid-structure interactions)

Rich physics

Liquid drops (surface tension γ) on soft deformable solids (elastic modulus μ)



Formation of a ridge beneath the contact line



What is the shape of the ridge $\zeta(x)$?

Not a recent question

First mentioned by Bikerman in 1957 and observed by dipping a gelatin prism in a mercury drop

Involved in some industrial applications

Dew collection, micro- and nano-devices fabrication, coatings

A simple but fundamental problem

Direct realization of the Flamant-Boussinesq problem (Green function for many linear problems of contact and fluid-structure interactions)

Rich physics

Not really understood until quite recently

Liquid drops (surface tension γ) on soft deformable solids

(elastic modulus µ)

A conceptual difficulty: (which does not exist for thin structures)



Formation of a ridge beneath the contact line



Liquid drops (surface tension γ) on soft deformable solids (elastic modulus μ)

500 μm

Formation of a ridge beneath the contact line



A conceptual difficulty: (which does not exist for thin structures)



Dimensional analysis

ridge height: $\zeta(0) \sim \gamma/\mu$

- ~I pm on quartz
- ~ 100 nm on rubber
- ~ 10 µm on soft gels

Liquid drops (surface tension γ) on soft deformable solids (elastic modulus μ)



Formation of a ridge beneath the contact line



A conceptual difficulty: (which does not exist for thin structures)



Dimensional analysis

Linear elasticity

Line force at the free surface of a half-space

ridge height: $\zeta(0) \sim \gamma/\mu$

 \sim I pm on quartz

- ~ 100 nm on rubber
- \sim 10 μm on soft gels

ridge height: $\zeta(0) \rightarrow \infty$

Boussinesq (1892), Flamant (1892)

Liquid drops (surface tension γ) on soft deformable solids (elastic modulus μ)



Formation of a ridge beneath the contact line



A conceptual difficulty: (which does not exist for thin structures)



Dimensional analysis

Linear elasticity

Line force at the free surface of a half-space

ridge height: $\zeta(0) \sim \gamma/\mu$

~I pm on quartz

- ~ 100 nm on rubber
- \sim 10 μm on soft gels

ridge height: $\zeta(0) \rightarrow \infty$

Boussinesq (1892), Flamant (1892)

A regularization mechanism is needed

- non-linearities
- plasticity
- finite width of contact line
- surface tension of the solid
- other ?

Shanahan & de Gennes (1987)

Long et al (1996)

Liquid drops (surface tension γ) on soft deformable solids (elastic modulus μ)



Formation of a ridge beneath the contact line



A conceptual difficulty: (which does not exist for thin structures)



Dimensional analysis

Linear elasticity

Line force at the free surface of a half-space

ridge height: $\zeta(0) \sim \gamma/\mu$

~I pm on quartz

- ~ 100 nm on rubber
- \sim 10 μm on soft gels

ridge height: $\zeta(0) \rightarrow \infty$

Boussinesq (1892), Flamant (1892)

A regularization mechanism is needed

- non-linearities
- plasticity
- finite width of contact line
- surface tension of the solid
- other ?

Shanahan & de Gennes (1987)

Long et al (1996)

Experimental data at small scales (< $I \mu m$) are needed

Pioneering experimental results

Confocal imaging

Style et al (2013)





Pioneering experimental results



The surface tension of the solid γ_s is the relevant regularization mechanism

Solve a linear elastic problem:

Force balance and incompressibility:

$$\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} = \boldsymbol{0} \quad \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u} = 0$$

Constitutive model:

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \mu(\boldsymbol{\nabla}\boldsymbol{u} + (\boldsymbol{\nabla}\boldsymbol{u})^T) - p\boldsymbol{I}$$

Boundary conditions:

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{n} = \boldsymbol{t} + \gamma_s \boldsymbol{n} (\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{n})$$

The surface tension of the solid γ_s is the relevant regularization mechanism

Solve a linear elastic problem:

Force balance and incompressibility:

$$\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} = \boldsymbol{0} \quad \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u} = 0$$

Constitutive model:

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \mu(\boldsymbol{\nabla}\boldsymbol{u} + (\boldsymbol{\nabla}\boldsymbol{u})^T) - p\boldsymbol{I}$$

Boundary conditions:

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} \boldsymbol{n} = \boldsymbol{t} + \gamma_s \boldsymbol{n} (\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{n})$$

A single 2D vertical contact line:



$$\zeta(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{1/\Delta}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}k \frac{\gamma \cos kx}{2\mu k + \gamma_s k^2}$$

elasticity \approx surface tension at lengthscale $\ell_s = \gamma_s/(2\mu)$

$$\zeta(x) = \frac{\gamma}{2\pi\mu} \int_{1/\Delta'}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}k \frac{\cos k \frac{x}{\ell_s}}{k + k^2}$$

The solution can be written as:

$$\zeta(\mathbf{x}) = \gamma/\mu f(\mathbf{x}/\ell_s)$$

$$\zeta(x) = \frac{\gamma}{2\pi\mu} \int_{1/\Delta'}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}k \frac{\cos k \frac{x}{\ell_s}}{k+k^2}$$

The solution can be written as:

 $\zeta(\mathbf{x}) = \gamma/\mu f(\mathbf{x}/\ell_s)$



The divergent displacement field is regularized by the surface tension of the soft solid.



At short distance from the tip (<< ℓ_s), capillarity dominates At large distance from the tip (>> ℓ_s), elasticity dominates

At the tip of the ridge:



Vertical force balance at the tip:

 $\gamma = 2 \gamma_s * \Theta_s$

Neumann construction at the tip!

« Liquid-like behavior »

$$\Theta_{\rm s} = \zeta'(0) = \gamma/(2\gamma_{\rm s})$$

 $\Theta = \pi - \gamma/\gamma_{\rm s}$

The opening angle θ of the ridge is independent of elasticity !

Comparison with static experiments

Style et al (2013)

A drop of radius R resting on a soft substrate with finite thickness H:



Ridge dimensions decrease with decreasing thickness H and droplet radius R



But the linear theory has strong limitations...

Only macroscopic contact angle $\alpha = \pi/2$



No predictions for the general case $\gamma_{SL} \neq \gamma_{SV}$

But the linear theory has strong limitations...

Only macroscopic contact angle $\alpha = \pi/2$



No predictions for the general case $\gamma_{SL} \neq \gamma_{SV}$

Only small deformations $\gamma/(2\gamma_s) << 1$



BUT experimentally: $\zeta'(0) = \theta_s = \gamma/(2\gamma_s) \sim 0.6-0.8$

Xu et al (Sept 2017)



Xu et al (Sept 2017)



According to the linear theory γ_s depends on the deformation Very strong Shuttleworth effect !



According to the linear theory γ_s depends on the deformation Very strong Shuttleworth effect !



According to the linear theory γ_s depends on the deformation Very strong Shuttleworth effect !

No Shuttleworth effect !

Memory effects in static elastowetting

Chapter 3 Statics: surface deformation and contact angle on elastic materials 73



Trace left on PDMS after receding a water droplet

Resting time ~ 30 min Drop diameter ~ 5 mm PDMS thickness ~ 100 μm Shear modulus ~ 1 kPa

Chapter 3 Statics: surface deformation and entait ingt on elastic meter as effects in static elastowetting



Drop diameter ~ 5 mm PDMS thickness ~ 100 µm Shear modulus ~ 1 kPa Resting time ~ 30 minResting time ~ 2 minA \longrightarrow \longrightarrow Image: total condition of the second secon





Chapter 3 Statics: surface deformation and contact anglo on elastic meter as effects in static elastowetting



Drop diameter ~ 5 mm PDMS thickness ~ 100 µm Shear modulus ~ 1 kPa

 Resting time ~ 30 min
 Resting time ~ 2 min

 A
 D
 t= 20s

 Image: Comparison of the second sec



A time-dependent process that depends on the wetting history



0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4

0.5 µm

Chapter 3 Statics: surface Catorination and contact angle on elastic materials Can trap the triple line



Time-dependent hysteresis was also pre-induced trops of verting device Fisty contended to be a second so the contact line recedes to bar is 0.2 mm. Before 119.3 seconds, the contact line recedes to nds when it is released. (b) Frite and comparing to be a second so the contact line recedes to for whether the second solution of the second sol



Viscoelastic effects in elastowetting



Very sensitive on substrate thickness !

Zhao et al (PNAS, 2018)



Nonlinear effects in elastowetting

Large deformations of a pre-stretched Neo-Hookean material with constant surface energy:



Elastic energy density:

$$\mathcal{W}_{el}(\mathbf{F}) = \frac{\mu}{2} \left\{ \operatorname{Tr}(\mathbf{F}\mathbf{F}^T) - 3 \right\}$$

$$F = \frac{\partial \vec{x}}{\partial \vec{X}}$$
 $\vec{x} = \vec{X} + \vec{u}(\vec{X})$

Surface energy density: $\mathcal{W}_s = \gamma_s$



3D integral over the reference volume

2D integral over the deformed area

Nonlinear effects in elastowetting

Approximation of the elastowetting problem as two solids in contact:



Numerical minimization of the total energy $W^I + W^{II}$ is performed by a Finite Element Method under FreeFem++

de Pascalis et al (2018)

Results from the numerical simulations



Drop radius R: I.3 mm Elastic layer thickness H: 50 μm Shear modulus μ: I.6 kPa Solid surface energy γ_s: 30mN/m Liquid surface energy γ: 40mN/m

Comparison between linear and nonlinear theories



Linear Neumann construction:

$$\gamma = 2 \gamma_{\rm s} * \theta_{\rm s}$$
$$\theta = \pi - \gamma / \gamma_{\rm s}$$



Comparison between linear and nonlinear theories



Good agreement between simulations and experiments No Shuttleworth effect in soft elastomers ! BUT **Nonlinearities** are important !

Origin of this nonlinear behavior ?



Elastic force acting at the tip $\mathbf{f}^{E} = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \int_{\Gamma_{\epsilon}} \mathbf{T} \cdot \boldsymbol{\nu} d\ell$ \mathbf{I} $f_{z}^{E} \propto -\gamma_{\ell} (\lambda^{2} - 1/\lambda^{4})(\pi - \theta)$

Purely topological force

$$f_z^E \sim 2[T_{rz}\zeta]$$

Analogous to the Peach-Koehler force acting on a dislocation !



Non elastic behaviors in elastowetting



The poro-elasto-wetting theory works well for PDMS (but more experimental data are needed)

Conclusions

We have developed a powerful numerical tool to investigate the nonlinear elastowetting problem

Non trivial behaviors at large deformations $(\gamma/2\gamma_s > I)$ The elastocapillary ridge behave as a topological defect (a disclination)

Poro-elastic growth and relaxation of the ridge on complex materials



Dervaux and Limat (PRSA, 2015) Zhao et al (Soft Matter, 2017) Zhao et al (PNAS, 2018) de Pascalis et al (Eur. J. Mech. A , 2018) Masurel et al (arXiv, under review)











Robin Masurel Riccardo de Pascalis Ioan Ionescu Laurent Limat Matthieu Roché



ESPCI ParisTech

François Lequeux Tetsuharu Narita Menghua Zhao